arXiv:1304.2575v1 [math.CV] 9 Apr 2013

INTEGRAL OPERATORS ON ANALYTIC MORREY SPACES

PENGTAO LI, JUNMING LIU & ZENGJIAN LOU*

Abstract. In this note, we study the boundedness of integral operators I_g and T_g on analytic Morrey spaces. Furthermore, the norm and essential norm of those operators are given.

1. Introduction

Morrey spaces were initially introduced by Morrey [18] in 1938. As useful tools, Morrey spaces play an important role in the study of harmonic analysis and partial differential equations. See Taylor [24], Olsen [19], Kukavica [16], Palagachev and Softova [21], and the reference therein.

In recent decades, in real and complex settings, Morrey spaces have been studied extensively. For example, in Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}^n$, Adams and Xiao studied Morrey spaces by potential theory and Hausdorff capacity in [1] and [2]. Duong, Yan and Xiao [11] characterized Morrey spaces by the operators with heat kernel bounds. The multipliers of Morrey spaces were studied by Gilles and Rieusset [13]. In the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$, the analytic Morrey spaces, $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$, were introduced and studied by Wu and Xie in [26]. Xiao and Xu [31] studied the composition operators of $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$ spaces. Cascante, Fàbrega and Ortega [8] studied the Corona theorem of $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}$.

For analytic functions g in $\mathbb{D}$, the Volterra type operator T_g is defined as

$$T_g f(z) = \int_0^z f(w)g'(w)dw,$$

on the space of analytic functions f in $\mathbb{D}$. The operator T_g was firstly investigated on Hardy spaces by Pommerenke [20]. Another integral operator related to T_g (denoted by I_g) is defined by

$$I_g f(z) = \int_0^z f'(w)g(w)dw.$$

The boundedness and compactness of I_g and T_g between spaces of analytic functions were studied by many authors. The T_g on Hardy spaces and Bergman spaces were studied by Aleman and Cima in [4], Aleman and Siskakis in [5, 6]. Siskakis and Zhao [23] studied T_g on the space $BMOA$. Xiao [30] considered I_g and T_g on Q_p spaces. Constantin [9] studied the boundedness and the compactness of T_g on Fock spaces. In [25], Wu considered T_g from Hardy spaces to analytic Morrey spaces. The closed ranges of I_g and T_g are studied by Anderson [7]. See Aleman [3] and its references for more information on those integral operators.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 45P05, 42B35.

Key words and phrases. Analytic Morrey space, Volterra type operator, essential norm.

This work was supported by NNSF of China (Grant No. 11171203 and No. 11201280) and NSF of Guangdong Province (Grant no. 10151503101000025 and No. S2011010004511).

*Corresponding author.

The essential norm can be seen as a useful tool to study the operators on function spaces. By the essential norms, we can understand better the relation between bounded operators and compact operators. The essential norms were first introduced by Shapiro [22] to study the composition operators. Recently, the essential norms were applied to study the integral operators I_g and T_g, see Laitila, Miihkinen and Nieminen [15], and Liu, Lou and Xiong [17]. We refer the reader to [22], [15] and [17] for further information.

In this paper, we consider the operators

$$I_g, T_g : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D}),\ 0 < \lambda < 1$$

and

$$I_g, T_g :\ H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D}),\ 2 < p \leq \infty.$$

The aim is to study the boundedness of I_g and T_g, and to estimate the norms and essential norms of I_g and T_g. We will prove that the norms of I_g and T_g is equivalent to $\|g\|_\infty$ and $\|g\|_{BMOA}$, respectively. By these equivalence, we could obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions of the boundedness of I_g and T_g. See Theorems 1-4. On essential norms, we prove that the essential norm of I_g is equivalent to $\|g\|_\infty$, and that the essential norm of T_g is equivalent to the distance between g and the space $VMOA$, see Theorems 5-8. As corollaries, we obtain the sufficient and necessary conditions of the compactness for I_g and T_g, respectively. We should point out that these necessary and sufficient conditions can be also proved without using the essential norms. Compared with such method, our results provide more information.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state some notations and preliminaries which will be used in the sequel. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the boundedness of I_g and T_g and of the norm estimate of those integral operators. The essential norms of I_g and T_g are given in Section 4.

Notations: For two functions F and G, if there is a constant $C > 0$ dependent only on indexes $p, \lambda, \cdots$ such that $F \leq CG$, then we say that $F \lesssim G$. Furthermore, denote that $F \approx G$ (F is comparable with G) whenever $F \lesssim G \lesssim F$.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{D}$ and $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ denote respectively the open unit disc and the unit circle in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ and $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}dxdy$ the normalized area Lebesgue measure.

For $0 < p < \infty$, the Hardy space $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ consists of all functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$\|f\|^p_{H^p} = \sup_{0<r<1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < \infty.$$

For an arc $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$, let $|I| = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_I |d\zeta|$ be the normalized length of I,

$$f_I = \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I f(\zeta)\frac{|d\zeta|}{2\pi}, \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}),$$

and $S(I)$ be the Carleson box based on I with

$$S(I) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : 1 - |I| \leq |z| < 1 \text{ and } \frac{z}{|z|} \in I\}.$$

Clearly, if $I = \partial\mathbb{D}$, then $S(I) = \mathbb{D}$.

Definition 1. For $0 < p < \infty$, we say that a non-negative measure μ on $\mathbb{D}$ is a p-Carleson measure if

$$\sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|^p} < \infty.$$

If $p = 1$, p-Carleson measure is the classical Carleson measure.

The following theorem due to L. Carleson is a significant result on Carleson measure (see, for example, [12] and [34]).

Theorem A. Suppose that μ is a non-negative measure on $\mathbb{D}$. Then μ is a Carleson measure if and only if the following inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \lesssim \|f\|_{H^2}^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta$$

holds for all f in Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover,

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{H^2}=1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \approx \sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(S(I))}{|I|}.$$

Recall that $BMOA$ is the set of f in the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ whose boundary value functions satisfies

$$\|f\|_* = \sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \Big(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |f(e^{i\theta}) - f_I|^2 d\theta\Big)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The norm of functions f in $BMOA$ can be expressed as $\|f\| = |f(0)| + \|f\|_*$. From [14], we know that $\|f\|$ is comparable with the norm

$$\|f\|_{BMOA} = |f(0)| + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{H^2},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and σ_a is the Möbius transformation with

$$\sigma_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\overline{a}z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Furthermore, if

$$\lim_{|I|\to 0} \frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |f(e^{i\theta}) - f_I|^2 d\theta = 0,$$

we say that $f \in VMOA$, the space of analytic functions with vanishing mean oscillation, we also know that $f \in VMOA$ if and only if

$$\lim_{|a|\to 1} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{H^2} = 0.$$

For more information on $BMOA$ and $VMOA$, see [14].

The following theorem (Theorem 6.5 of [14] or Theorem 4.1.1 of [30]) is a Carleson measure characterization of $BMOA$.

Theorem B. Let $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, then $f \in BMOA$ if and only if the measure μ_f with $d\mu_f = |f'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z)$ is a Carleson measure. Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{BMOA} \approx |f(0)| + \Big(\sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu_f(S(I))}{|I|}\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Definition 2. Let $0 < \lambda \le 1$. The Morrey space $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ is the set of all f belongs to the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \Big(\frac{1}{|I|^\lambda}\int_I |f(\zeta) - f_I|^2 \frac{|d\zeta|}{2\pi}\Big)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}^{2,1}(\mathbb{D}) = BMOA$. The following lemma gives some equivalent conditions of $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ (see Theorem 3.21 of [29] or Theorem 3.1 of [27]).

Lemma 1. *Suppose that* $0 < \lambda < 1$ *and* $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$. *Let* $\sigma_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$. *Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$;

(ii) $\sup\limits_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|I|^\lambda}\int_{S(I)} |f'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z) < \infty$;

(iii) $\sup\limits_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2)dA(z) < \infty$;

(iv) $\sup\limits_{a\in\mathbb{D}}(1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2\log\frac{1}{|\sigma_a(z)|}dA(z) < \infty$.

The norm of functions $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ can be defined as follows

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}}\Big(\frac{1}{|I|^\lambda}\int_{S(I)} |f'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Remark 1. From the lemma above, it is easy to see that for $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} &\approx |f(0)| + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\Big((1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2)dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\approx |f(0)| + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\Big((1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2\log\frac{1}{|\sigma_a(z)|}dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we give a result about the growth rate of functions in $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$.

Lemma 2. *Let* $0 < \lambda < 1$. *If* $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$, *then*

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Proof. For any $b \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} &\approx |f(0)| + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}}\Big((1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2)dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\geq \Big((1-|b|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2(1-|\sigma_b(z)|^2)dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &= \Big((1-|b|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(\sigma_b(w))|^2|\sigma_b'(w)|^2(1-|w|^2)dA(w)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\gtrsim (1-|b|^2)^{\frac{3-\lambda}{2}}|f'(b)|,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.12 of [34] in the last inequality. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}|f(b)-f(0)| &= \Big|b\int_0^1 f'(bt)dt\Big| \leq |b|\int_0^1 |f'(bt)|dt\\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}|b|\int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-|b|t)^{\frac{3-\lambda}{2}}}dt\\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}}{(1-|b|)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the point b is arbitrary, we get

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

□

3. **Boundedness and Norm estimate of I_g and T_g**

In this section, we prove the boundedness and estimate the norms of I_g and T_g. The following two lemmas will be used through this paper.

Lemma 3. ([33, Lemma 1]) *Suppose that $s > -1$ and $r, t > 0$. If $t < s + 2 < r$, then we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)^s}{|1-\overline{b}z|^r |1-\overline{a}z|^t} dA(z) \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|b|^2)^{r-s-2}|1-\overline{a}b|^t},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{D}$.

Lemma 4. *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{D}$. Then functions $f_b(z) = (1-|b|^2)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(\sigma_b(z)-b)$ and $F_b(z) = (1-|b|^2)(1-\overline{b}z)^{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}}$ belong to $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover, we have $\|f_b(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim 1$ and $\|F_b(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim 1$, where the constants implicit are independent of z and b.*

Proof. For $b \in \mathbb{D}$, by Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_b\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} &\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_b'(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2) dA(z) \\
&= \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|a|^2)^{2-\lambda}(1-|b|^2)^{\lambda+1} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)}{|1-\overline{b}z|^4|1-\overline{a}z|^2} dA(z) \\
&\lesssim \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{2-\lambda}(1-|b|^2)^{\lambda}}{|1-\overline{a}b|^2} \lesssim 1
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|F_b\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} &\lesssim 1 + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|a|^2)^{2-\lambda}(1-|b|^2)^2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|z|^2)}{|1-\overline{b}z|^{5-\lambda}|1-\overline{a}z|^2} dA(z) \\
&\lesssim 1 + \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{2-\lambda}(1-|b|^2)^{\lambda}}{|1-\overline{a}b|^2} \lesssim 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We first consider the boundedness of I_g on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$.

Theorem 1. *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then I_g is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if g belongs to $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, the space of bounded analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. Moreover the operator norm satisfies*

$$\|I_g\| \approx \|g\|_\infty,$$

where $\|g\|_\infty = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |g(z)|$.

Proof. Let $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. For any $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_g f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} &= \sup_{I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}} \Big(\frac{1}{|I|^\lambda} \int_{S(I)} |f'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |g(z)|.
\end{aligned}$$

This leads the boundedness of I_g and $\|I_g\| \leq \|g\|_\infty$.

On the other hand, if I_g is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. For any $b \in \mathbb{D}$, suppose that f_b is defined as in Lemma 4, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_g\| &\gtrsim \|I_g f_b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \\
&\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big((1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_b'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2) dA(z) \Big)^{1/2} \\
&\geq \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\sigma_b'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_b(z)|^2) dA(z) \Big)^{1/2} \\
&= \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\sigma_b(w))|^2 (1-|w|^2) dA(w) \Big)^{1/2} \\
&\gtrsim |g(b)|,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.12 of [34] again in the last inequality. Since b is arbitrary, we have $\|I_g\| \gtrsim \|g\|_\infty$. Theorem 1 is proved. □

As a main result of this section, we give the boundedness of T_g on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ in the next theorem.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that* $0 < \lambda < 1$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. *Then* T_g *is bounded on* $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ *if and only if* $g \in BMOA$. *Moreover,* $\|T_g\| \approx \|g\|_{BMOA}$.

Proof. Suppose $g \in BMOA$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ and any arc $I \subset \partial D$, let $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ be the center of arc I and $b = (1-|I|)\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|I|^\lambda} \int_{S(I)} |(T_g f)'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{|I|^\lambda} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\
&\quad \leq \frac{2}{|I|^\lambda} \int_{S(I)} |f(b)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\
&\quad\quad + \frac{2}{|I|^\lambda} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)-f(b)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\
&\quad \triangleq I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We first estimate the term I_1. By Lemma 2, we get

$$|f(b)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}}{(1-|b|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}}{|I|^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}.$$

It follows from Theorem B that

$$I_1 = \frac{2}{|I|^\lambda} \int_{S(I)} |f(b)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \lesssim \|g\|_{BMOA}^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}^2.$$

Now we estimate the term I_2. Since $1-|\sigma_b(z)|^2 \gtrsim \frac{1-|z|^2}{|I|}$ for all $z\in S(I)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{2}{|I|^\lambda}\int_{S(I)} |f(z)-f(b)|^2|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z) \\ &\lesssim |I|^{1-\lambda}\int_{S(I)} |f(z)-f(b)|^2|g'(z)|^2(1-|\sigma_b(z)|^2)dA(z) \\ &\lesssim |I|^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f\circ\sigma_b(w)-f(b)|^2|(g\circ\sigma_b)'(w)|^2(1-|w|^2)dA(w) \\ &\lesssim (1-|b|^2)^{1-\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f\circ\sigma_b(w)-f(b)|^2|(g\circ\sigma_b)'(w)|^2(1-|w|^2)dA(w). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g\in BMOA$, then $g\circ\sigma_b\in BMOA$ and $|(g\circ\sigma_b)'(w)|^2(1-|w|^2)dA(w)$ is a Carleson measure by Theorem B. Note that $f\in\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})\subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$, then $f\circ\sigma_b - f(b)\in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Combining this with Theorem A yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim (1-|b|^2)^{1-\lambda}\|g\circ\sigma_b\|^2_{BMOA}\int_0^{2\pi} |f\circ\sigma_b(e^{i\theta})-f(b)|^2d\theta \\ &\lesssim (1-|b|^2)^{1-\lambda}\|g\|^2_{BMOA}\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2\log\frac{1}{|\sigma_b(z)|}dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \|g\|^2_{BMOA}\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Littlewood-Paley identity in the second inequality (see, for example, [12] or [34]). Thus,

$$\|T_gf\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim I_1+I_2 \lesssim \|g\|^2_{BMOA}\|f\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}.$$

That is $\|T_g\|\lesssim\|g\|_{BMOA}$.

On the other hand, suppose that T_g is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. For any $I\subset\partial\mathbb{D}$, let $b=(1-|I|)\zeta\in\mathbb{D}$, where ζ is the center of I. Then

$$(1-|b|^2)\approx|1-\overline{b}z|\approx|I|, \quad z\in S(I).$$

Using the test function F_b as in Lemma 4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{S(I)}|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z) \\ &\quad\lesssim \frac{1}{|I|^\lambda}\int_{S(I)}|F_b(z)|^2|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z) \\ &\quad= \frac{1}{|I|^\lambda}\int_{S(I)}|(T_gF_b)'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z) \\ &\quad\le \|T_gF_b\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \\ &\quad\le \|T_g\|^2\|F_b\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \\ &\quad\lesssim \|T_g\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since I is arbitrary, we obtain $g\in BMOA$ and $\|g\|_{BMOA}\lesssim\|T_g\|$. The proof is completed. □

Remark 2. Theorem 1 holds for $\lambda=1$ (see [30]). But Theorem 2 is not ture for $\lambda=1$. In fact, Siskakis and Zhao [23] proved that T_g is bounded on $BMOA$ if and only if g belongs to logarithmic $BMOA$ space.

For $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, the multiplication operator M_g is defined by $M_g f(z) = f(z)g(z)$. It is easy to see that M_g is related with I_g and T_g by

$$M_g f(z) = f(0)g(0) + I_g f(z) + T_g f(z).$$

Corollary 1. *Let $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then the multiplication operator M_g is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. If $g \in H^\infty$, then I_g and T_g are both bounded on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ by Theorems 1 and 2. So M_g is bounded on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$.

If M_g is bounded on $L^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$, consider the function $F_b(z)$ in Lemma 4. Applying Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\frac{1-|b|^2}{(1-\overline{b}z)^{\frac{3-\lambda}{2}}}g(z)\Big| &\lesssim \|M_g F_b\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}\\ &\lesssim \|M_g\| \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking $z = b$ in the inequality above yields $|g(b)| \lesssim \|M_g\|$ and then $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ by the arbitrariness of b. $\square$

We now characterize the boundedness of $I_g : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})(2 < p \leq \infty)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $2 < p \leq \infty$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then $I_g : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded if and only if $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover $\|I_g\| \approx \|g\|_\infty$.*

Proof. Suppose $I_g : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded. Consider the test function

$$f(z) = \frac{(1-|b|^2)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{\overline{b}(1-\overline{b}z)}, \ \frac{1}{2} \leq |b| < 1, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Applying the well-known inequality,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1-ze^{i\theta}|^{1+t}} d\theta \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, t > 0,$$

we have $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|f\|_{H^p} \lesssim 1$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_g\| &\gtrsim \|I_g f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}}\\ &\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big((1-|a|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\geq \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\sigma_b'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_b(z)|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &= \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\sigma_b(w))|^2 (1-|w|^2) dA(w)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\gtrsim |g(b)|,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.12 of [34] again in the last inequality. Since $\frac{1}{2} \leq |b| < 1$, we have

$$\|g\|_\infty = \sup_{\frac{1}{2}\leq|z|<1} |g(z)| \lesssim \|I_g\|.$$

On the other hand, suppose $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, then applying Littlewood-Paley identity, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_g f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} &\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big((1-|a|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big((1-|a|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 \log\frac{1}{|\sigma_a(z)|} dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\
&\lesssim \|g\|_\infty \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|a|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\circ\sigma_a - f(a)\|_{H^2} \\
&\lesssim \|g\|_\infty \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|a|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\circ\sigma_a\|_{H^2} \\
&\lesssim \|g\|_\infty \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} (1-|a|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\circ\sigma_a\|_{H^p} \\
&\lesssim \|g\|_\infty \|f\|_{H^p},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\|f\circ\sigma_a\|_{H^p} \le \Big(\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|}\Big)^{1/p} \|f\|_{H^p}$$

([10, Theorem 3.6]). Therefore

$$\|I_g\| \lesssim \|g\|_\infty.$$

The proof is completed. □

In [25, Theorem 9], Wu proved that, for $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $T_g : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})(2 < p \le \infty)$ is bounded if and if $g \in BMOA$. We next estimate the norm of T_g.

Theorem 4. *Let $2 < p \le \infty$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. If $T_g : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded, then $\|T_g\| \approx \|g\|_{BMOA}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2, for the completeness of the paper, we give the sketch of the proof below. For any $I \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$, let ζ be the center of I and $b = (1-|I|)\zeta$. Consider the function

$$h_b(z) = \frac{1-|b|^2}{(1-\overline{b}z)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It is easy to see that $h_b \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$ with $\|h_b\|_{H^p} \lesssim 1$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) &\lesssim \frac{1}{|I|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \int_{S(I)} |h_b(z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{|I|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \int_{S(I)} |(T_g h_b)'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\
&\le \|T_g h_b\|^2_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} \\
&\le \|T_g\|^2 \|h_b\|^2_{H^p} \\
&\lesssim \|T_g\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $g \in BMOA$ with $\|g\|_{BMOA} \lesssim \|T_g\|$.

On the other hand, if $p = \infty$, then, for $f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$,

$$\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{S(I)} |(T_g f)'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \lesssim \|f\|^2_\infty \|g\|^2_{BMOA},$$

which implies

$$\|T_g\| \lesssim \|g\|_{BMOA}.$$

If $2 < p < \infty$, for $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$, write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|I|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \int_{S(I)} |(T_g f)'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\
&\quad \leq \frac{2}{|I|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \int_{S(I)} |f(b)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\
&\qquad + \frac{2}{|I|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)-f(b)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z) \\
&\quad \triangleq E_1 + E_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that (Theorem 9.1 of [34]),

$$|f(b)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{H^p}}{(1-|b|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{H^p}}{|I|^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad b \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We have

$$E_1 \lesssim \|g\|^2_{BMOA} \|f\|^2_{H^p}.$$

Since $1 - |\sigma_b(z)|^2 \gtrsim \frac{1-|z|^2}{|I|}$ for all $z \in S(I)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 &\lesssim |I|^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_{S(I)} |f(z)-f(b)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_b(z)|^2) dA(z) \\
&\lesssim (1-|b|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f\circ\sigma_b(w) - f(b)|^2 |(g\circ\sigma_b)'(z)|^2 (1-|w|^2) dA(w).
\end{aligned}$$

If $g \in BMOA$, then $|(g\circ\sigma_b)'(z)|^2(1-|w|^2)dA(w)$ is a Carleson measure. For $p > 2$, $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$ implies that $f \circ \sigma_b \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Using Theorem A yields

$$\begin{aligned}
E_2 &\lesssim (1-|b|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \|g\circ\sigma_b\|^2_{BMOA} \|f\circ\sigma_b - f(b)\|^2_{H^2} \\
&\lesssim (1-|b|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \|g\|^2_{BMOA} \|f\circ\sigma_b\|^2_{H^2} \\
&\leq (1-|b|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \|g\|^2_{BMOA} \|f\circ\sigma_b\|^2_{H^p} \\
&\lesssim \|g\|^2_{BMOA} \|f\|^2_{H^p},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that

$$\|f\circ\sigma_b\|_{H^p} \leq \left(\frac{1+|b|}{1-|b|}\right)^{1/p} \|f\|_{H^p}$$

([10, Theorem 3.6]). Hence

$$\|T_g f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} \lesssim \|g\|_{BMOA} \|f\|_{H^p}.$$

Therefore

$$\|T_g\| \lesssim \|g\|_{BMOA}.$$

The theorem is proved. □

Remark 3. If $p = 2$, then $\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D}) = H^2(\mathbb{D})$. From the proof of Theorem 3, we know that Theorem 3 holds for $p = 2$. Theorem 4 for $p = 2$ is also true (see, for example [4] or [20]).

Corollary 2. *Let $2 < p \leq \infty$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. Then the multiplication operator $M_g:\ H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded if and only if $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. If $M_g: H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded, consider the function

$$f(z) = \frac{(1-|b|^2)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-\overline{b}z)}.$$

It is easy to see that $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $\|f\|_{H^p} \lesssim 1$. Applying Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\frac{(1-|b|^2)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-\overline{b}z)} g(z)\Big| &\lesssim \|M_g f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \\ &\lesssim \|M_g\| \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking $z = b$ in the inequality above yields $|g(b)| \lesssim \|M_g\|$. Since b is arbitrary in $\mathbb{D}$, we have $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.

If $g \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, from [25, Theorem 9] and Theorem 3, we know that I_g and T_g are both bounded from $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ to $\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$. So $M_g: H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded. $\square$

4. Essential norm of I_g and T_g

Let X be a Banach space and T is a bounded linear operator on X. The essential norm of T (denoted by $\|T\|_e$) is defined as follows,

$$\|T\|_e = \inf\{\|T-K\| : K \text{ are compact operators on } X\}.$$

Since that T is compact if and only if $\|T\|_e = 0$, then the estimation of $\|T\|_e$ indicates the condition for T to be compact. In this section, we estimate the essential norm of I_g, T_g.

Let X and Y be two Banach spaces with $X \subset Y$. If $f \in Y$, then the distance from functions f to the Banach space X is defined as

$$\operatorname{dist}_Y(f, X) = \inf_{g \in X} \|f - g\|_Y.$$

In the following lemma, Laitila, Miihkinen and Nieminen ([15, Lemma 3]) characterized the distance from functions $f \in BMOA$ to $VMOA$ space. Here and afterward we denote $g_r(z) = g(rz)$ with $0 < r < 1$.

Lemma 5. *Let $g \in BMOA$. Then*

$$\operatorname{dist}(g, VMOA) \approx \limsup_{r\to 1} \|g - g_r\|_{BMOA} \approx \limsup_{|a|\to 1} \|g\circ\sigma_a - g(a)\|_{H^2}.$$

Theorem 5. *Suppose $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$. If I_g is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$, then*

$$\|I_g\|_e \approx \|g\|_\infty.$$

Proof. For compact operators K, it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\|I_g\|_e = \inf_K \|I_g - K\| \le \|I_g\| \lesssim \|g\|_\infty.$$

On the other hand, choose the sequence $\{b_n\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $|b_n| \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Consider the sequence of functions $f_n(z) = (1-|b_n|^2)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(\sigma_{b_n}(z) - b_n)$. It follows from Lemma 4 that $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \lesssim 1$. Note that f_n can be written as

$$f_n(z) = -(1-|b_n|^2)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} \int_0^z \frac{dw}{(1-\overline{b_n}w)^2}$$

and f_n converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Then $\|Kf_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for any compact operator K on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_g - K\| &\gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|(I_g - K)f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}\\ &\geq \limsup_{n\to\infty}(\|I_g f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} - \|Kf_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}})\\ &\geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|I_g f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_g f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} &\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big((1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\geq \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\sigma_{b_n}'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_{b_n}(z)|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &= \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\sigma_{b_n}(w))|^2 (1-|w|^2) dA(w)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\gtrsim |g(b_n)|.\end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\|I_g\|_e \gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} |g(b_n)|.$$

The arbitrary choice of the sequence $\{b_n\}$ implies $\|I_g\|_e \gtrsim \|g\|_\infty$. The proof of Theorem 5 is completed. □

For the proof of the next theorem, we need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 6. *Suppose* $0 < \lambda < 1$. *If* $g \in BMOA$, *then* $T_{g_r} : \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ *is compact.*

Proof. Let $\{f_n\}$ be such that $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \leq 1$ and $f_n \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ as $n \to \infty$. We need only to show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_{g_r} f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} = 0.$$

Since $\|g_r\|_{BMOA} \lesssim \|g\|_{BMOA}$ (see Lemma 1 of [32]), for $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$|g_r'(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|g\|_{BMOA}}{1-r^2}.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_{g_r} f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} &\lesssim \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big((1-|a|^2)^{1-\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n(z)|^2 |g_r'(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\lesssim \frac{\|g\|_{BMOA}}{1-r^2} \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n(z)|^2 \frac{(1-|z|^2)(1-|a|^2)^{2-\lambda}}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2} dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\ &\lesssim \frac{\|g\|_{BMOA}}{1-r^2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{1-\lambda} dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}} \leq 1$ and $|f_n(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)^{1-\lambda} \lesssim 1$ by Lemma 2. The desired result follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem. □

In the following theorem, as a main result of this section, we give the essential norm of T_g on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$.

Theorem 6. *Suppose* $0<\lambda<1$ *and* $g\in BMOA$. *Then* $T_g:\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})\to\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ *satisfies*

$$\|T_g\|_e\approx \operatorname{dist}(g,VMOA)\approx\limsup_{|a|\to1}\|g\circ\sigma_a-g(a)\|_{H^2}.$$

Proof. Let $\{I_n\}$ be the subarc sequence of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $|I_n|\to0$ as $n\to\infty$. Denote $b_n=(1-|I_n|)\zeta_n\in\mathbb{D}$, where $\zeta_n\in\partial\mathbb{D}$ is the center of arc I_n, $n=1,2,\cdots$. We know that

$$(1-|b_n|^2)\approx|1-\overline{b_n}z|\approx|I_n|,\quad z\in S(I_n).$$

Choose the function $F_n(z)=(1-|b_n|^2)(1-\overline{b_n}z)^{\frac{\lambda-3}{2}}$, $z\in\mathbb{D}$. Then $F_n\to0$ uniformly on the compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ as $n\to\infty$ and $\|F_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}\lesssim1$ by Lemma 4. Thus,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|KF_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}\to0$$

for any compact operator K on $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_g-K\|&\gtrsim\limsup_{n\to\infty}(\|T_gF_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}-\|KF_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}})\\&=\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|T_gF_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}}\\&\gtrsim\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{|I_n|^\lambda}\int_{S(I_n)}|F_n(z)|^2|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\\&\approx\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{|I_n|}\int_{S(I_n)}|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence $\{I_n\}$ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\|T_g\|_e\gtrsim\limsup_{|I|\to0}\Big(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{S(I)}|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}.$$

It follows from the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [23] that, for $g\in BMOA$,

$$\limsup_{|a|\to1}\|g\circ\sigma_a-g(a)\|_{H^2}\approx\limsup_{|I|\to0}\Big(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_{S(I)}|g'(z)|^2(1-|z|^2)dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Hence

$$\|T_g\|_e\gtrsim\limsup_{|a|\to1}\|g\circ\sigma_a-g(a)\|_{H^2}.$$

On the other hand, $T_{g_r}:\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})\to\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ is a compact operator. Combining this with Theorem 2 implies

$$\|T_g\|_e\le\|T_g-T_{g_r}\|=\|T_{g-g_r}\|\approx\|g-g_r\|_{BMOA},$$

where we used the linearity of T_g respect to g. Hence

$$\|T_g\|_e\lesssim\limsup_{r\to1}\|g-g_r\|_{BMOA}\approx\limsup_{|a|\to1}\|g\circ\sigma_a-g(a)\|_{H^2}$$

by Lemma 5. The theorem is proved. □

Remark 4. For $\lambda=1$, $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})=BMOA$. Theorem 5 holds for $\lambda=1$, see Theorem 2.1 of [17]. But Theorem 6 is not true for $\lambda=1$ (Theorem 2 of [15]).

Corollary 3. *Let* $0<\lambda<1$ *and* $g\in H(\mathbb{D})$. *Then*
(1) I_g *is compact on* $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ *if and only if* $g=0$;
(2) T_g *is compact on* $\mathcal{L}^{2,\lambda}(\mathbb{D})$ *if and only if* $g\in VMOA$.

Now we give the essential norm of $I_g : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ $(2 < p \leq \infty)$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 7. *Let* $2 < p \leq \infty$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$*. If* $I_g : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ *is bounded, then*

$$\|I_g\|_e \approx \|g\|_\infty.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3. Choose the sequence $\{b_n\} \subset \mathbb{D}$ with $|b_n| \geq 1/2$ such that $|b_n| \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. Consider the sequence of functions

$$f_n(z) = \frac{(1-|b_n|^2)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}{\overline{b_n}(1-\overline{b_n}z)}.$$

It is easy to see that $\|f_n\|_{H^p} \lesssim 1$ and f_n converges to zero uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$. Then $\|Kf_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for any compact operator $K : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_g - K\| &\gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|(I_g-K)f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} \\ &\geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} (\|I_g f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} - \|Kf_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}}) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|I_g f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_g f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} &\approx \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big((1-|a|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_a(z)|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |\sigma_{b_n}'(z)|^2 |g(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_{b_n}(z)|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &= \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |g(\sigma_{b_n}(w))|^2 (1-|w|^2) dA(w)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\gtrsim |g(b_n)|.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\|I_g\|_e \gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} |g(b_n)|.$$

The arbitrary choice of the sequence $\{b_n\}$ implies $\|I_g\|_e \gtrsim \|g\|_\infty$.

On the other hand, for compact operators K, it follows from Theorem 3 that

$$\|I_g\|_e = \inf_K \|I_g - K\| \leq \|I_g\| \approx \|g\|_\infty.$$

The theorem is proved. □

As another main result of this section, we next estimate essential norm of $T_g : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ $(2 < p \leq \infty)$, for its proof, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let* $2 < p \leq \infty$ *and* $g \in BMOA$*. Then* $T_{g_r} : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ *is compact.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 6. Let $\{f_n\} \subset H^p(\mathbb{D})$ be such that $\|f_n\|_{H^p} \leq 1$ and $f_n \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ as $n \to \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_{g_r} f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} &\lesssim \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big((1-|a|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n(z)|^2 |g_r'(z)|^2 (1-|\sigma_a|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|g\|_{BMOA}}{1-r^2} \sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n(z)|^2 \frac{(1-|z|^2)(1-|a|^2)^{1+\frac{2}{p}}}{|1-\overline{a}z|^2} dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|g\|_{BMOA}}{1-r^2} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_n(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

and $|f_n(z)|(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{2}{p}} \lesssim 1$ by Theorem 9.1 of [34]. Using the Dominated Convergence Theorem again implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_{g_r} f_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} = 0$. □

Theorem 8. *Suppose* $2 < p \leq \infty$ *and* $g \in BMOA$. *Then* $T_g : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ *satisfies*

$$\|T_g\|_e \approx \operatorname{dist}(g, VMOA) \approx \limsup_{|a|\to 1} \|g\circ\sigma_a - g(a)\|_{H^2}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 6. Let $\{I_n\}$ be the subarc sequence of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ such that $|I_n| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, $b_n = (1-|I_n|\zeta_n) \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta_n \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ be the center of arc I_n. Consider the function

$$h_n(z) = \frac{1-|b_n|^2}{(1-\overline{b_n}z)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

It is easy to check that $h_n \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{D}$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\|h_n\|_{H^p} \lesssim 1$. For any compact operator $K : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|Kh_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} \to 0.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_g - K\| &\gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} (\|T_g h_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} - \|Kh_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}}) \\ &= \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|T_g h_n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}} \\ &\gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{|I_n|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \int_{S(I_n)} |(T_g h_n)'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\approx \limsup_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{|I_n|^{1-\frac{2}{p}}} \int_{S(I_n)} |h_n(z)|^2 |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\gtrsim \limsup_{n\to\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{|I_n|} \int_{S(I_n)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

The arbitrary choice of $\{I_n\}$ yields,

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_g\|_e &\gtrsim \limsup_{|I|\to 0} \Big(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{S(I)} |g'(z)|^2 (1-|z|^2) dA(z)\Big)^{1/2} \\ &\approx \limsup_{|a|\to 1} \|g\circ\sigma_a - g(a)\|_{H^2}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 7 that $T_{g_r} : H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ is a compact operator. Applying Theorem 4 gives

$$\|T_g\|_e \leq \|T_g - T_{g_r}\| = \|T_{g-g_r}\| \approx \|g - g_r\|_{BMOA}.$$

So

$$\|T_g\|_e \lesssim \limsup_{r\to 1} \|g - g_r\|_{BMOA} \approx \limsup_{|a|\to 1} \|g\circ\sigma_a - g(a)\|_{H^2}$$

by Lemma 5. The proof of Theorem 8 is finished. □

Remark 5. The proof of Theorem 7 shows that Theorem 7 is true for $p = 2$. Theorem 8 holds also for $p = 2$ (Theorem 1 of [15]).

Corollary 4. *(*[25, Theorem 9]*) Let* $2 \le p \le \infty$ *and* $g \in H(\mathbb{D})$*. Then*
(1) $I_g: H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ *is compact if and only if* $g = 0$*,*
(2) $T_g: H^p(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{L}^{2,1-\frac{2}{p}}(\mathbb{D})$ *is compact if and only if* $g \in VMOA$*.*

References

[1] D. Adams and J. Xiao, *Nonlinear potential analysis on Morrey spaces and their capacities*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53**(2004), no. 6, 1629-1663.

[2] D. Adams and J. Xiao, *Morrey spaces in harmonic analysis*, Ark. Mat., **50**(2012), no.2, 201-230.

[3] A. Aleman, *A class of integral operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Topics in Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, 3-30, Univ. Málaga, Málaga, 2007.

[4] A. Aleman and J. A. Cima, *An integral operator on H^p and Hardy's inequality*, J. Anal. Math. **85**(2001), 157-176.

[5] A. Aleman and A. G. Siskakis, *An integral operator on H^p*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **28**(1995), no. 2, 149-158.

[6] A. Aleman and A. G. Siskakis, *Integration operators on Bergman spaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **46**(1997), no.2, 337-356.

[7] A. Anderson, *Some closed range integral operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory. **69**(2011), no. 1, 87-99.

[8] C. Cascante, J. Fàbrega and J. M. Ortega, *The Corona theorem in weighted Hardy and Morrey spaces*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) DOI 10.2422/2036-2145.201202_006.

[9] O. Constantin, *A Volterra-type integration operator on Fock spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140**(2012), no. 12, 4247-4257.

[10] C. Cowen and D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.

[11] X. Duong, J. Xiao and L. Yan, *Old and new Morrey spaces with heat kernel bounds*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **13**(2007), no. 1, 87-111.

[12] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Revised first edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 236. Springer, New York, 2007.

[13] P. Gilles and L. G. Rieusset, *Multipliers and Morrey spaces*, Potential Anal, DOI 10.1007/s11118-012-9295-8.

[14] D. Girela, *Analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation*, Complex Function Spaces (Mekrijärvi, 1999), 61-170, Univ. Joensuu Dept. Math. Rep. Ser., 4, Univ. Joensuu, Joensuu, 2001.

[15] J. Laitila, S. Miihkinen and P. Nieminen, *Essential norms and weak compactness of integration operators*, Arch. Math. **97**(2011), no.1, 39-48.

[16] I. Kukavica, *Regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations with a solution in a Morrey space*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), no. 6, 2843-2860.

[17] J. Liu, Z. Lou and C. Xiong, *Essential norms of integral operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Application, **75**(2012), no.13, 5145-5156.

[18] Ch. B. Morrey, *On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **43**(1938), no. 1, 126-166.

[19] P. Olsen, *Fractional integration, Morrey spaces and a schrödinger equation*, Commun. Partial Differential Equations **20**(1995), 2005-2055.

[20] Ch. Pommerenke, *Schlichte funktionen und analytische funktionen von beschränkter mittlerer Oszillation*, (German) Comment. Math. Helv. **52**(1977), no. 4, 591-602.

[21] D. Palagachev and L. Softova, *Singular integral operators, Morrey spaces and fine regularity of solutions to PDE's*, Potential Analysis, **20**(2004), 237-263.

[22] J. Shapiro, *The essential norm of a composition operator,* Ann. Math., **125**(1987), no.2, 375-404.

[23] A. G. Siskakis and R. Zhao, *A Volterra type operator on spaces of analytic functions*, Function Spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 1998), 299-311, Contemp. Math., 232, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[24] M. Taylor, *Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier-Stokes and other evolution equations* , Communications in Partial Differential Equations **17**(1992), 1407-1456.

[25] Z. Wu, *A new characterization for Carleson measure and some applications*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **71**(2011), no.2, 161-180.

[26] Z. Wu and C. Xie, *Q spaces and Morrey spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **201**(2003), no. 1, 282-297.

[27] H. Wulan and J. Zhou, *QK and Morrey type spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **38**(2013), 193-207.

[28] J. Xiao, *Holomorphic Q Classes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1767. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.

[29] J. Xiao, *Geometric Q_p Functions*, Frontiers in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

[30] J. Xiao, *The Q_p Carleson measure problem*, Adv. Math. **217**(2008), no. 5, 2075-2088.

[31] J. Xiao and W. Xu, *Composition operators between analytic Campanato spaces*, J. Geom. Anal, Doi 10.1007/s12220-012-9349-6.

[32] S. Ye and Z. Lou, *Cyclic vectors and cellular indecomposable operators on Q_p spaces*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. **31**(2011), no. 2, 434-440.

[33] R. Zhao, *Distances from Bloch functions to some Möbius invariant spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **33**(2008), no. 1, 303-313.

[34] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 138. Amer. Math. Soc, Providence (2007).

Department of Mathematics, Shantou University, Shantou, Guangdong, 515063, P. R. China
E-mail address: `ptli@stu.edu.cn`
E-mail address: `08jmliu@stu.edu.cn`
E-mail address: `zjlou@stu.edu.cn`